

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 1 (twice amended), line 2, after "overwrapping", insert --in the presence of the propellant--.

Claim 7 (twice amended), line 2, after "overwrapped", insert --in the presence of the propellant--.

Cancel claim 8 without prejudice.

REMARKS

Entry of the above amendment is respectfully requested. The amendments to claims 1 and 7 serve to further clarify those claims by stating that the overwrapping is conducted in the presence of the propellant, as supported the working Examples in the instant specification. These amendments serve to further distinguish the instant claims from the disclosures of the cited Bobinski et al and Wrightson patents. The amendments are in accordance with the Examiner's Interview Summary Record summarizing the discussions of June 12, 1991 between Examiner Nelson and the undersigned applicants' attorney.

Claim 8 has been cancelled to eliminate possible redundancy with claim 7.